MICHAEL K. JEANES Clerk of the Superior Court

By ROBERT HILL, Deputy		
Date 08/27/2002	2 Time	02:27 P#
Description	<b>Q</b> ty	Amount
CASE# CV2002	2-01666	3
CIVIL NEW COMPLAINT	001	190.00

J. Gregory Osborne (S.B. No. 006422) TOLMAN & OSBORNE, P.C. 1920 E. Southern Avenue, Suite 104 Tempe, Arizona 85282 (480) B97-1020

TOTAL AMOUNT 190.00 Receipt# 00004937650

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

20

23

22

24 25

26

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA

MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2002-016663

husband and wife,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

STEVE AND SUSAN ROBINSON,

DONNA M. DESANTIS, M.D. and JOHN DOE DESANTIS, wife and husband; EAST VALLEY FAMILY PHYSICIANS, P.L.C., an Arizona Corporation; JOHN AND JANE DOES ) I-V; BLACK AND WHITE CORPORA-TIONS VI-X; ABC PARTNERSHIPS XI-XV,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Case No. CV

(Tort: Non-Motor Vehicle; Medical Malpractice)

For their complaint, plaintiffs allege as follows:

- Plaintiffs are residents of Maricopa County, Arizona.
- Defendants Donna M. DeSantis, M.D. and John Doe 2. DeSantis are now, and at all times material hereto were, residents of Maricopa County, Arizona; the true name of John Doe DeSantis is not presently known to plaintiffs but plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend their complaint to reflect the true name at such time as it becomes known. At all times material hereto defendant

- 3. East Valley Family Physicians, P.L.C. is an Arizona Corporation and licensed health care provider. At all times material hereto, Dr. DeSantis was acting as the agent, servant and/or employee of East Valley Family Physicians, P.L.C.
- 4. Defendants John Does I-X, Jane Does I-X, Black Corporations I-X, and White Partnerships I-X are the officers, directors, agents and/or employees of defendants and their spouses, who at all times material hereto acted within the course and scope of their employment and/or agency relationship with defendants and on behalf of and in furtherance of their respective marital communities; the true names and identities of these defendants are not presently known to plaintiffs, but plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend their complaint to reflect the true names, together with the appropriate allegations concerning the conduct of each defendant as it relates to their respective negligence in rendering health care individually or collectively as a principal, agent, employee, administrator, manager or director of defendants.
- 5. At all times material hereto, defendants held themselves out to the public, and particularly to plaintiff Susan Robinson, to be health care providers capable of treating injuries, illnesses and conditions, including those of plaintiff Susan Robinson, by and through their employees and agents including the individual and corporate defendants; as such,

**4** 

defendants represented to the public and to plaintiffs that they were possessed of and exercised that degree of learning, skill, care, knowledge and diligence required of health care providers of their respective specialties in the State of Arizona.

- All acts complained of herein occurred in Maricopa
  County, Arizona.
- 7. The amount in controversy exceeds the minimum amount required for jurisdiction in this Court.
- 8. During 2001, plaintiff Susan Robinson presented herself to defendants for examination, diagnosis and treatment of her complaints.
- 9. Defendants, including their agents and servants, were negligent in consulting on, examining, diagnosing and treating plaintiff Susan Robinson during the above-mentioned times in that they failed to exercise the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by competent physicians, health care providers, and medical supplier/consultants in similar cases under similar circumstances.
- 10. As a direct and proximate result of said negligence, plaintiff Susan Robinson sustained permanent and grievous physical injuries to his person which have caused her pain and substantial discomfort and which will continue in the future to cause pain and discomfort.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of defendants, plaintiff Susan Robinson has required the attendance of physicians and will require further medical care and

attention in the future; the cost of such future medical care and treatment is not presently known or ascertainable but will be proven at the trial of this matter.

- 12. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and the injuries suffered thereby, plaintiff Susan Robinson has undergone and will continue to undergo severe physical and mental pain and suffering.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and the injuries suffered thereby, plaintiff Susan Robinson has suffered a loss of earnings.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, and the injuries suffered by his wife, Susan Robinson, plaintiff Steve Robinson has been deprived of the care, comfort, consortium and advice of his wife and is entitled to damages therefore.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendants as follows:

- 1. For reasonable damages for medical expenses incurred by plaintiff Susan Robinson as of the date of the Complaint, plus an amount to be determined as and for the reasonable future medical expenses to be incurred by plaintiff Susan Robinson.
- 2. For reasonable damages as and for the past and future physical and mental pain and suffering, and embarrassment caused by the injury sustained by plaintiff Susan Robinson.
- 3. For reasonable damages for lost wages and loss of earning capacity sustained by plaintiff Susan Robinson.

- 4. For reasonable loss of consortium damages to Steve Robinson for the loss of care, comfort, consortium and advice of Susan Robinson.
- 5. For plaintiffs' costs incurred and expended in this lawsuit.
- 6. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.

TOLMAN & OSBORNE, P.C

Ву

Gregory Osborne

1920 E. Southern, Suite 104

Tempe, Arizona 85282

Attorneys for Plaintiffs